Protein phosphorylation is a major protein post-translational modification process that plays a pivotal role in numerous cellular processes, such as recognition, signaling or degradation. It can be studied experimentally by various methodologies, including western blot analysis, site-directed mutagenesis, 2D gel electrophoresis, mass spectrometry etc. A number of in silico tools have also been developed in order to predict plausible phosphorylation sites in a given protein. In this review, we conducted a benchmark study including the leading protein phosphorylation prediction software, in an effort to determine which performs best. The first place was taken by GPS 2.2, having predicted all phosphorylation sites with an 83% fidelity while in second place came NetPhos 2.0 with 69%.
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**Abstract**

Protein phosphorylation is a major protein post-translational modification process that plays a pivotal role in numerous cellular processes, such as recognition, signaling or degradation. It can be studied experimentally by various methodologies, including western blot analysis, site-directed mutagenesis, 2D gel electrophoresis, mass spectrometry etc. A number of in silico tools have also been developed in order to predict plausible phosphorylation sites in a given protein. In this review, we conducted a benchmark study including the leading protein phosphorylation prediction software, in an effort to determine which performs best. The first place was taken by GPS 2.2, having predicted all phosphorylation sites with an 83% fidelity while in second place came NetPhos 2.0 with 69%.

**Protein Phosphorylation**

Protein phosphorylation is a major post-translational modification, illustrating a major cellular reversible process that is performed primarily by the protein kinases (PKs). It directs a variety of biological cellular processes, including transduction and cellular cycle regulation (Suter et al. 2008). Biochemically, PKs play a major role by catalyzing the hydrolysis of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), which in turn, transfers a phosphate group to the appropriate residue (serine (S)/ threonine (T) or tyrosine (Y) in eukaryotic organisms, and histidine (H), arginine (Arg) or lysine (K) in prokaryotes). Most importantly, PKs modify a specifically defined subset of substrates, in this way ensuring the signaling fidelity (PK-specific) of the process (Ciesla et al. 2011).

Phosphorylation plays a crucial role in cellular regulation, immune response, signaling and energy management of living organisms. Cells communicate with each other and interact with their environment through various signals. These signals represent either mechanical or chemical stimuli, with the latter produced by autocrine, endocrine or paracrine mechanisms. Approximately 2% of the human genome encodes more than five hundred PK genes. Each PK exhibits distinct recognition properties, including short linear motifs (SLMs) flanking the phosphorylation sites (P-sites) that are responsible for attributing primary specificity (Song et al. 2012).

The eukaryotic organisms frequently prefer to phosphorylate serine rather than threonine residues, so tyrosine phosphorylation rarely occurs in eukaryotes. On the other hand, histidine phosphorylation constitutes an inherent part of signal transduction within intracellular signaling pathways. However, their frequency is relatively low and occurs in less that 10% of the total transduction events in eukaryotic cells. In all cases, each residue-specific PK acts as regulatory switch by adding one or more phosphate groups to
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>(Physicochemical properties)</th>
<th>Single letter code</th>
<th>Function Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serine</td>
<td>(Aliphatic and polar groups)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td><strong>Biosynthesis of purines and pyrimidines and other metabolites</strong>&lt;br&gt;Example: The serine 727 which is located in the amino acid sequence of protein STAT1 of STAT proteins, is phosphorylated by a phosphorylating kinase. The stimulus is an INF-γ and the pathways which are triggered by this stimulus are JAK2-dependent, RAS-independent. The result from these pathways is overexpression of dominant-negative and constitutively active Ras.&lt;br&gt;It is known that the STAT signal transduction factors and activators of transcription require serine phosphorylation by bSTAT serine kinase to their C-terminus, before activation. Prior to this, a tyrosine residue phosphorylation occurs in cytokine-stimulated cells by the receptor-associated Janus Kinases (JAKs), contributing to STATS' dimerization. These reactions are necessary for the activation of the well known JAK-STAT signaling pathways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine</td>
<td>(Aliphatic and polar groups)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td><strong>Isoleucine precursor</strong>&lt;br&gt;Related Diseases: Irritability, difficult personality&lt;br&gt;Threonine phosphorylation occurs in the human epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor. Threonine is located in a very basic sequence of 9 residues of the cytoplasmic area of the plasma membrane and is located in the area near the kinase. Its location helps the phosphorylation and consequently the modification of signaling between the inner region and the external EGF-binding area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrosine</td>
<td>(Aromatic side chains)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td><strong>Signal transduction processes</strong>&lt;br&gt;Related Diseases: brain neural problems&lt;br&gt;*Tyrosine hydroxylase &gt; levodopa&lt;br&gt;*Tyrosine &gt; Thyroid hormones&lt;br&gt;<strong>Histamine precursor</strong>&lt;br&gt;Histamine is an important chemical mediator involved in the regulation of a variety of biological responses, including the control of blood pressure and the central nervous system. It is synthesized in the brain from the amino acid tyrosine by the enzyme tyrosine hydroxylase, which is activated by the neurotransmitter norepinephrine. The resulting molecule, dopamine, is then converted to histamine by the enzyme dopamine β-hydroxylase. In the periphery, histamine is synthesized in mast cells, basophils, and other cell types. Its release from these cells leads to the production of a variety of inflammatory mediators, including prostaglandins and leukotrienes, which contribute to inflammation and pain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>(Basic side chains)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td><strong>Histamine precursor</strong>&lt;br&gt;Histamine is an important chemical mediator involved in the regulation of a variety of biological responses, including the control of blood pressure and the central nervous system. It is synthesized in the brain from the amino acid tyrosine by the enzyme tyrosine hydroxylase, which is activated by the neurotransmitter norepinephrine. The resulting molecule, dopamine, is then converted to histamine by the enzyme dopamine β-hydroxylase. In the periphery, histamine is synthesized in mast cells, basophils, and other cell types. Its release from these cells leads to the production of a variety of inflammatory mediators, including prostaglandins and leukotrienes, which contribute to inflammation and pain. **Histidine phosphorylation occurs in several platelet proteins and it is necessary for the platelet activation. For example P-selectin is phosphorylated in a cytoplasmic tail after platelets activation by thrombin and collagen. The stimulation by thrombin increase the kinetics of phosphohistidine and disappearance of P-selectin is very fast. Activated platelets are exhibiting high production of phosphohistidine. This situation shows the induction of rapid and reversible phosphorylation of phosphoprotein. The phenomenon of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation is very rare and has allowed the demonstration of the existence of PKA-dependent, RSK-independent, the rapid formation of these kinase. The stimulus is an INF-γ and the pathways which are triggered by this stimulus are JAK2-dependent, RAS-independent. The result from these pathways is overexpression of dominant-negative and constitutively active Ras. Example: The serine 727 which is located in the amino acid sequence of protein STAT1 of STAT proteins, is phosphorylated by a phosphorylating kinase. The stimulus is an INF-γ and the pathways which are triggered by this stimulus are JAK2-dependent, RAS-independent. The result from these pathways is overexpression of dominant-negative and constitutively active Ras. It is known that the STAT signal transduction factors and activators of transcription require serine phosphorylation by bSTAT serine kinase to their C-terminus, before activation. Prior to this, a tyrosine residue phosphorylation occurs in cytokine-stimulated cells by the receptor-associated Janus Kinases (JAKs), contributing to STATS' dimerization. These reactions are necessary for the activation of the well known JAK-STAT signaling pathways.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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them. Phosphorylation activity is also detected in cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases (Cdks), which constitute key regulators of the cell cycle progression in eukaryotic cells (Masumoto et al. 2002). It is known that Cdk activity is detected by phosphorylation at three conserved positions (Lew & Kornbluth 1996). Another example is the Bcl-2 phosphorylation, which regulates cell apoptosis (Ruvolo et al. 2001). Table 1 summarizes some examples of phosphorylated amino acid residues and their function.

Detection of phosphorylated points with biological techniques

The most common methods for detecting and characterizing phosphorylated residues include experimental approaches supported mainly by western blot analysis and site-directed mutagenesis. Nevertheless, such experimental approaches are usually limited to specific tissues or cells and are time consuming. Based on new technologies, the leading techniques for the identification of phosphorylated sites became the high-throughput methods, such as proteomics and analysis by mass spectrometry (St-Denis & Gingras 2012). The mass spectrometry method can be utilized to determine the phosphorylated sites in a wide variety of tissues. However, it suffers from certain limitations and disadvantages. For example, the identification of kinases responsible for the phosphorylation catalysis is limited due to sensitivity. In addition, a number of important proteins cannot be detected by this technique due to their low abundance. Furthermore, many phosphorylated sites are changed to hypo-stoichiometrical levels, which usually prevent their detection. In general, this technology requires very expensive instruments and high levels of expertise, not always available (Sundstrom et al. 2009).

Another high-throughput approach is two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE), which can be used to separate protein mixtures and detect phosphorylation changes. This approach was successfully used for the identification of several phosphoproteins related to the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) pathway (Lovric et al. 1998).

More advanced techniques for the detection of phosphorylated sites are the protein microarrays or protein chips (Zhu et al. 2001). New immunoassay techniques can also be used by high throughput approaches, mainly based on the use of phospho-specific monoclonal antibodies that have been developed against different phosphorylated amino acids (Leitner et al. 2011).

In addition, down regulating or knocking out a target kinase in vitro and observing the resulting phenotype is another way to identify substrates. This methodology has been used in small- as well as large-scale studies (MacKeigan et al. 2005).

Bioinformatics phosphorylation tools

The use of bioinformatics is one of the most used techniques for detecting phosphorylation due to its ability to eliminate the disadvantages of the above techniques, as it is based on methodology that relies on computational approaches (Table 2). For example, the method that is based on bayesian probability is more expressive than PSSMs, but is more easily interpreted biologically and mathematically than ANNs. These bioinformatics tools also use other information, which is based on whether or not to use the information structure. Finally, the tools also stand out from their specificity, if they are non-kinase or kinase-specific tools.

Table 2. Phosphorylation detection tools together with the corresponding machine learning technique they employ, the number of phosphorylated residues and the sequence structural information. The K-spec/No-spec column indicates whether the tools are kinase or non-kinase specific.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>Machine learning technique</th>
<th>Number of phosphorylated residues for each tool</th>
<th>1D/3D Sequence/structural info</th>
<th>K-spec/No-spec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NetPhos</td>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>9-33</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td>No-spec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NetPhosK</td>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>9-33</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td>K-spec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHOSIDA</td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>No-spec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musite</td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>Exact range of lengths not explicitly stated</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>K-spec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ScanSite</td>
<td>PSSM</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>K-spec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMALI</td>
<td>PSSM</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>K-spec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS 1.0</td>
<td>PSSM,Markov Clustering</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>K-spec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPSP</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1D</td>
<td>K-spec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In other words, the tool makes provisions for specific kinases or kinase families or is not kinase-specific (Trost & Kusalik 2011).

For optimal results, experimental techniques are often facilitated by the simultaneous use of bioinformatics tools. For example, extensive computational analysis is needed before performing phosphor-peptide identification by mass spectrometry, due to the complexity of the latter. A number of software packages can be used for this step including Mascot (Trost & Kusalik 2011), SEQUEST (Yates et al. 1995), OMSSA (Geer et al. 2004), X! Tandem (Craig & Beavis 2004), GutenTag (Tabb et al. 2003), InsPecT (Tanner et al. 2005) and Spectral Networks Analysis (Bandeira 2011).

One of the problems observed in predicting phosphorylation sites is related to sensitivity and specificity. Phosphorylation prediction appears to be more sensitive when the detected regions are located in a single protein, whereas higher specificity appears when detected areas are in an entire proteome.

**Benchmark of state of the art, current bioinformatics tools**

In this study, a series of current state-of-the-art phosphorylation prediction tools were investigated and benchmarked in regards to their accuracy in detecting actually phosphorylated amino acids. In an effort to use a wide repertoire of test proteins the RCSB-PDB database was harvested for phosphorylated structures of proteins that have been determined by X-ray crystallography at low resolution (i.e. high fidelity). More specifically we used the proteins with accession numbers: E0J4T6, E8VA72, O15530, O34507, O34824, O95997, P04049, P04083, P04792, P0A5N2, P0A6N2, P0A763, P10636, P13796, P18159, P23528, P29320, P30307, P31103, P31120, P31751, P35568, P37840, P41685, P49841, P51593, P51636, P55008, P55211, P61012, P62753, P65728, P80885, P95078, Q00969, Q02750, Q06752, Q12778, Q12968, Q13541, Q16236, Q5S007, Q61083, Q62074, Q64010, Q6711, Q6P2N0, Q8BZ03, Q8HW5, Q93V58, Q95207, Q9H2X6, Q9MZA9, Q9UD71, Q9UMF0, 2VX3, 1U54, 1T15, 2ERK and 2IVV.

The phosphate groups on the selected crystal structures have been co-crystallized alongside the main protein crystal. All phosphorylated residues in the selected structures (Supplementary Table 1) confirm that these amino acids are capable of being phosphorylated under the right circumstances. Non-phosphorylated residues could either be unable to be phosphorylated or were just unable to get phosphorylated under the given experimental conditions. Therefore, our benchmark mainly focuses on the ability of each software package to accurately predict the residues that have been experimentally shown to be phosphorylated in the crystal structure.

All major phosphorylating software programs were examined; namely NetPhos 2.0 (Blom et al. 1999), NetPhosK 1.0 (Blom et al. 2004), Musite.net (Gao et al. 2010), ScanSite (Obenauer et al. 2003), SMALI (Li et al. 2008), PPSP (Xue et al. 2006), GPS 1.10 (Xue et al. 2005, 2008, Zhou et al. 2004) and Phospho.ELM (Dinkel et al. 2011). The raw data output files from the above programs are included in the supplementary data. A table summarizing the findings of this benchmark has also been generated (Supplementary Table 1).

It was found that each software comes with its
strengths and weaknesses. Some are better at detecting serine phosphorylation, whereas some are more suitable for correctly predicting Tyrosine or Threonine phosphorylation. The actual phosphorylated residues and the programs that correctly predicted each particular phosphorylation in silico are summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

Collectively, it was found that GPS 2.2 was the most accurate phosphorylation prediction package. NetPhos 2.0 came in second place, having succeeded in 147 out of 212 phosphorylation sites. PPSP (124 correct predictions) and NetPhosK 1.0 (120 correct predictions) came in third place, while Phospo.ELM showed a 39% successful prediction of phosphorylated sites. Musite and ScanSite3 performed quite average having predicted only 30 and 23 out of 212 phosphorylation sites, respectively. Finally SMALI proved to be quite poor in its prediction potential, as it failed almost completely to predict phosphorylation sites in our benchmark, with only 7 predictions that represent only a 3% match with the real data (Figure 1).

Conclusions

Protein phosphorylation is one of the most important post-translational modifications that proteins undergo. Many biological functions, such as recognition, signaling and degradation are linked to signals that arrive through protein phosphorylation. In this regard, a series of in silico tools have been developed to help scientists predict plausible phosphorylation sites on a given protein. Herein, a benchmark was conducted amongst the leading protein phosphorylation prediction software, in an effort to determine which tool performs best. Conclusively, the best prediction tool for protein phosphorylation was found to be GPS 2.2, having predicted all phosphorylation sites with an 83% fidelity. NetPhos 2.0 came in second place, while PPSP and NetPhosK 1.0 were found to perform reasonably well with an approximately 57% prediction potential in our benchmark.
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